



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,179	04/19/2001	Kenneth James Barker	RAL919990168US1	1524

26675 7590 08/26/2004

DRIGGS, LUCAS BRUBAKER & HOGG CO. L.P.A.
DEPT. IRA
8522 EAST AVENUE
MENTOR, OH 44060

EXAMINER

CHANG, ERIC

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2116

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/839,179

Applicant(s)

BARKER ET AL.

Examiner

Eric Chang

Art Unit

2116

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 June 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-2 and 4-8 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 4-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 1-2 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,805,597 to Edem.

5. As to claim 1, Edem discloses a method of conserving power consumption in a communication system which includes components capable of selectively entering a low power mode and an auto-negotiation feature by:

[a] determining eligibility of a system to enter a low power mode based on operator generated signals, time of day, or non-use of the system for a period of time, or a combination thereof [col. 8, lines 44-67, and col. 9, lines 1-6];

[b] exchanging messages indicative of a low power mode capability [col. 13, lines 18-22];

Art Unit: 2116

[c] using an auto-negotiation feature to interpret exchanged signals to verify that connected systems include the low power mode capability [col. 13, lines 21-23]; and

[d] transmitting a signal that a communications session is completed to cause connected systems to enter the low power mode [col. 13, lines 23-25].

Edem teaches a transmitting device on the network uses an auto-negotiation protocol to indicate that it desires to enter a low power mode, and receives a message from the receiving device that it is also capable of entering the low power mode. If the exchange indicates that both devices support a low power mode, the devices will enter a low power mode, substantially as claimed. Furthermore, Edem teaches that the devices in the system would be eligible to enter a low power mode when there was little or no communication traffic, and subsequently negotiate to enter said low power mode [col. 8, line 67, and col. 9, lines 1-6].

6. As to claim 2, Edem discloses the auto-negotiation feature is a next-page facility [col. 12, lines 37-55].

7. As to claim 4, Edem discloses a system utilizing a data communication device having a plurality of data exchange modes, each of said modes operating at different speeds and power consumption levels, protocol means for compatibly coupling said data communication device to another data communication device for exchanging data therebetween, and selection means in said data communication device operating in a high speed mode to switch to a different speed mode that consumes less power during an idle period by:

Art Unit: 2116

[a] exchanging data representative of said data communication devices ability [col. 13, lines 18-23] and eligibility [col. 8, line 67, and col. 9, lines 1-6] to operate at the least power consuming speed;

[b] decoding via said protocol means said representative data [col. 13, lines 11-25]; and

[c] changing to said least power consuming speed in response to another protocol signal [col. 13, lines 23-25].

Edem teaches the method to conserve power, including using auto-negotiation to verify the ability to enter a low power mode, and having the devices enter a low power mode thereafter, substantially as claimed. Furthermore, Edem teaches that the full power mode has a greater bandwidth than the low power mode [col. 19, lines 51-55]. Therefore, Edem teaches that the higher transmission speed consumes more power, substantially as claimed.

8. As to claim 5, Edem discloses a method for conserving power consumption during periods of low usage by using a next-page aspect of the auto-negotiation feature to communicate among terminal data equipment each equipment's capability to assume a low power mode, the method comprising:

[a] detecting periods of low network usage [col. 8, lines 44-67, and col. 9, lines 1-6];

[b] verifying in response to detection of low network usage that each equipment is eligible to assume the low power mode by use of the auto-negotiation feature [col. 13, lines 11-25]; and

[c] asserting signals to put each eligible equipment in a low power mode of operation [col. 13, lines 23-25].

Art Unit: 2116

Edem teaches the method to conserve power, including using auto-negotiation to verify the ability to enter a low power mode, and having the devices enter a low power mode thereafter, substantially as claimed. Furthermore, Edem teaches that such an attempt to enter a low power mode occurs when network traffic has decreased to a limited level [FIG. 13, element 211].

9. As to claims 6-8, Edem discloses the means to put the system in low power mode selectively detect [col. 11, lines 40-47] and control [col. 10, lines 19-43, and col. 11, lines 19-39] portions of a physical layer device in said system. Edem teaches that the power mode is selected by detecting the protocol negotiation via the physical layer, and that the power mode controls whether the full-power or low-power portions are used to transmit and receive data.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2116

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Chang whose telephone number is (703) 305-4612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 18, 2004

ec


LYNNE H. BROWNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER-8600 2/00